

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/764,218	(01/16/2001	Jaime Vargas	032405-042	032405-042 7487	
33109	7590	10/10/2002			_	
CARDICA,	INC.		EXAMINER			
•	71 JEFFERSON DRIVE IENLO PARK, CA 94025			NGUYEN, VICTOR		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3731		
			DATE MAILED: 10/10/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office And a Co	09/764,218	VARGAS ET AL.			
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Victor X Nguyen	3731			
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months are the provided by the Office later than three months are the provided by the Office later than three months are the provided by the Office later than three months are the provided by the Office later than three months are the provided by the Office later than three months are the provided by the Office later than three months are the provided by the Office later than three months are the provided by the Office later than three months are the provided by the Office later than three months are the provided by the Office later than three months are the provided by the Office	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	January 2001 .				
2a) <u></u>		his action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is			
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
•	Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the applicatio	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)[_					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-53 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	,			
Applicati	on Papers	·				
9) 🗌 🗆	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)[] 7	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) 🔲 7	The proposed drawing correction filed on		proved by the Examiner.			
45.	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.				
:	Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applic	ation No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•				
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been r	received.			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	20 and/or 121.			
Attachm nt(
l) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Detect and To						

Application/Control Number: 09/764,218

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-25, drawn to a method of grafting a graft vessel, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
 - II. Claims 26-47, drawn to a system for aligning a graft vessel, classified in class606, subclass 150.
 - III. Claims 48-53, drawn to a tension control device, classified in class 606, subclass 149.

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as using a device to sew on a button. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

1. Inventions II and III are related as subcombination disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombination are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, inventions II and III have separate utility such as being used without the other devices.(MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 3731

- 2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. In addition, if invention I is elected a further election of species is required. Invention I contains claims directed to the following patentably distict species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Figs 4a,4b

Species II: Figs 3a,3b

Species III: Figs 5a,5b

Species IV: Figs 6a,6b

Species V: Figs 7a,7b

Species VI: Fig 8

Species VII: Figs 11,12,13

Species VIII: Figs 14a,14b,15a,15b

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

If invention II is elected, then the following election of Species applies:

Species I: Fig13

Species II: Fig 8

Art Unit: 3731

Species III: Figs 3a,3b

Species IV: Figs 4a,4b

Species V: Figs 5a,5b

Species VI: Figs 6a,6b

Species VII: Figs 14a,b; 15a,b

Species VIII: Figs 11,12

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 09/764,218

Art Unit: 3731

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Brian Schar on 10/3/2002 to request an oral election to 5.

the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Victor X Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4898.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular

communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Victor X Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 3731

October 7,2002

KEVIN T. TRUONG

Page 5

PRIMARY EXAMINER

10/0/02